

1

2

3

4

5
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7

8 ELIAS TORRES RAMIREZ,
9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 TAYLOR ALISON SWIFT, et al.,
12 Defendants.

Case No. 24-cv-05974-CRB

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT &
RECOMMENDATION**

13 Plaintiff Elias Ramirez alleges that he is married to pop music icon Taylor Swift.
14 He contends that Swift and other individuals, such as football player Travis Kelce, have
15 violated his and Swift's "Sacred Relationship." See Compl. (dkt. 1) He seeks "immediate
16 reunification" with Swift, that "certain [individuals] be put to death," and control of
17 Swift's assets. Id. at 3–4, 8.

18 Magistrate Judge Sallie Kim recommended that Ramirez's Complaint be dismissed
19 for failure to state a claim under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). R&R (dkt. 15)
20 at 2; see also Omar v. Sea-Land Serv., Inc., 813 F.2d 986, 991 (9th Cir. 1987); Neitzke v.
21 Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 327 (1989). Ramirez did not object to the recommendation. The
22 Court has reviewed his Complaint and adopts Judge Kim's R&R in its entirety. And
23 though this is Ramirez's first complaint in this action, he has filed several nearly identical
24 cases against Swift that have been dismissed. See Ramirez v. Swift, No. 23-cv-3826-
25 AMO (N.D. Cal. filed Jul. 31, 2023); Ramirez v. Swift, No. 24-cv-2874-CRB (N.D. Cal.
26 filed May 13, 2024); Ramirez v. Val, No. 24-cv-3190-VC (N.D. Cal. May 28, 2024). So
27 the Court does not grant leave to amend.

28

This action is hereby **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

1 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**
2 Dated: December 30, 2024
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



CHARLES R. BREYER
United States District Judge